

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_86732
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Fasting During Ramadan on Inflammatory Markers in Type II Diabetes Mellitus
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article is well structured. However, you should considerably improve the English writing of this manuscript and respect punctuation.</p> <p>You should also avoid repetition, for example, in abstract and in particular the part Background, you used the term "fasting" two time in a consecutive sentences. You may combine these sentences to avoid unnecessary repetition or use other synonyms. This will considerably increase the quality of your article.</p> <p>Please add information in "Study Design" since you didn't provide any details in this section.</p> <p>In methodology section: Please avoid the term "recruited", since its not scientific and replace this sentence " We Recruited 72 patients with type 2 diabetes from Benghazi diabetic center two weeks before holey Ramadan fasting month..." by the following sentence " 72 patients with type 2 diabetes participated in this study..."</p> <p>In "Study Date and Place section", you already said in that your study was conducted in Benghazi diabetic center, that's why you don't have to repeat it in "methodology section".</p> <p>In discussion part, you must combine paragraphs in coherent way and complete the ideas of each sentence since the infomations that you provided are good but uncompleted.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>It will be more suitable to improve the presentation quality of your figures and to show error bars.</p> <p>You must provide more informations in conclusion section.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Sabri Bensaad
Department, University & Country	University Batna 2, Algeria