

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_82320
Title of the Manuscript:	CASE FATALITY RATE AND REVIEW OF LITERATURE ON GNAECOLOGICAL CANCERS MORTALITY AT A SPECIALIAZED ONCOLOGY UNIT IN PORT HARCOURT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is a good research work pertaining to relevant point in patients affected with gynae oncological cancers. However the following points need to be addressed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aims and objectives should be clearly defined. Are there any primary and secondary objectives? Why is socio economic status mentioned in the aims and objectives? 2. Advanced stages could be specified like how many were in Stage III/IV 3. The treatment given could be elaborated, how many had interventions, like 2nd/3rd line chemotherapy or secondary cytoreduction, how many had supportive/palliative treatment etc. The institutional protocol could be mentioned. 4. Had all the patients directly presented to the hospital or some had been referred from other hospitals? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Some lines in discussion need grammatical correction in discussion, like radiotherapy centres would be overwhelmed, not overwhelm 2. Also authors should use vulval / vaginal carcinoma or carcinoma vulva/ vagina rather than vulva/ vagina carcinoma as It is more commonly used 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amrita Datta
Department, University & Country	India