

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_81831
Title of the Manuscript:	Shift work among nurses in public hospitals in the Congo: Consequences on sleep.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Page 2 – Three groups are defined. I think “3x8” refers to those who are working in in one of the three eight hours shifts?? • Similarly, “2X12” refers to those who are working in either of the 2, 12 hours shifts. • Page 3 – There is mention of Epworth Sleepiness Scale whose reference is in other than English language. This scale is important and readers are expected to know about it. • Please provide the relevant 8 items in the methodology. • Page 4 – There is a mention of QISP. Not defined in the methodology. The corresponding reference is unapproachable and not in English. • There is a mention of Cs Pro7.2, Excel 2021 and SPSS 25. The corresponding details may be provided in the list of references. • Page 5 – sleep disorder – The figure quoted for daytime sleepiness among nurses is 33.6% and for hyper sleepiness 4.9% is quoted. These figures quoted do not tally with the actual figures quoted in the Table 3. • The description of results is strictly not as per the tables and it is difficult to find which statement given in results is for which Table. • The results are not adequately described. • The paper is not acceptable in the present format and need to be rewritten keeping in mind the above comments. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very Poorly written Paper. • The results provided under 6 tables are not adequately and not properly, described. • It is difficult to find which statement is given for which results of the table. • The nine references are quoted in the language which are in other than English language. • It is advisable to quote all references in English only. • The paper is not acceptable in the present form 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramnath Takiar
Department, University & Country	Indian Council Of Medical Research, India