

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_81744
Title of the Manuscript:	COVID-19 and its Effect on Psychological Wellbeing on Frontline Healthcare Workers: A Review Study
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript proves to be of great relevance to the scientific community, bringing important information about the health of health workers.</p> <p>However, in the methodology item I suggest the elaboration of a flow chart of the analysed information (PRISMA recommendation). The flow chart describes the flow of information through the different phases of a review, maps the number of records identified, included and excluded, and the reasons for exclusions.</p> <p>Flow charts can also be generated using a Shiny application available at: https://estech.shinyapps.io/prisma_flowdiagram/</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The guidelines provided are to give greater visibility to the quality of the information presented and to validate the methodological rigor used by the author in the analysis of the articles.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Congratulations on the choice of theme presented!</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luciene Rodrigues Barbosa
Department, University & Country	University Federal of São Paulo, Brazil