

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_79354
Title of the Manuscript:	CT score and prognosis of vaccinated and unvaccinated patients in Covid 19 pneumonia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1-The introduction is incomplete and some information should be given about the effect of vaccination on the disease process. Also, the number of references cited in the whole manuscript included the introduction is very few and references should be cited after the words that I highlighted in yellow in revised manuscript.</p> <p>2-The definition of CT score should be given in material and methods (before table 2) not in result.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1-Number of patients with negative or positive PCR tests in each group should be given. And the association of PCR result and CO-RADS should be given statistically with exact numbers.</p> <p>2-Your manuscript is about quantitative CT score not qualitative radiological patterns. But in the result only in one sentence you mentioned that all relative radiological patterns were seen in your study. If you want to discuss about radiological patterns in the result, you should explain more about the percentile of each pattern and their prognosis in your specific study.</p> <p>3- Grammatical corrections are required.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>1-Underlying diseases of patients in each group should be considered because it can affect the final result.</p> <p>2-It is better to write the discussion separately from the result.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Moein Zangiabadian</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Iran</i>