

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_78162
Title of the Manuscript:	Kikuchi Fujimoto Disease: A Case Report with Literature Review
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>If it is a literature review, please include how the literature review was done, how articles were selected for the review. I feel that the discussion it self cannot be considered as a literature review. Further details about it's pathogenesis, classifications, investigations and management are needed if it is to be considered a literature review.</p> <p>Or this may be considered as a case report only.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The following clarifications needed are marked in track changes mode in the word document.</p> <p>What is meant by features of mild fever? You have already mentioned that its characterised by fever</p> <p>Please specify how uncommon it is.</p> <p>The country of origin is important as the geographical distribution of the disease varies</p> <p>Rat fever? Is it leptospirosis or Streptobacillus moniliformis (rat bite fever) Please mention the medical term.</p> <p>?dose/frequency of paracetamol</p> <p>Was a blood picture done? What were the results.</p> <p>Clarify when the lymph node biopsy was done, from the onset of illness.</p> <p>Inserting stars on the image can obliterate the details of the image</p> <p>The sentence is not clear. Should it be, "Oshima et al described that apoptotic Fas and Perforin pathways which are responsible for inducing necrotising lesions.[7] Please clarify.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Thank you for reporting this rare case of necrotising lymphadenitis.</p> <p>I feel that the case history can be presented more scientifically and in a concise manner. There were few grammatical errors casing difficulty in perceiving the idea. Some of them were marked. Please attend to those.</p> <p>As author has mentioned, there is a dire requirement of case reports and studies regarding aetiology and pathogenesis of this condition. However, this case report is not adding any possible causes/aetiology or pathogenesis or new treatment or diagnostic modality, different course of the disease or complication etc.....</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amila Jayasinge H. M.
Department, University & Country	University Of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka