

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_76396
Title of the Manuscript:	SECONDARY INFERTILITY IN PORT HARCOURT: PATTERN AND SOCIO-DERMOGRAPHIC RELATIONSHIP
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	It is a simple article, clearly described, although it takes a couple of graphs to establish its objectives more clearly but it achieves its purpose even though it falls short on the social	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	It would be desirable to show a couple of graphs with the variables used or at least the 10 months found in frequency as the cause of secondary infertility, there is a lot of loose figure that does not allow to capture the possible causes of infertility	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Although the objective of the article is to establish the socioeconomic relationship and the patterns associated with infertility, it is based on infectious processes and concerns the lack of education, but the primary level of the patients studied is less than 1%, it should be established The possibility of care, adherence to therapy, obtain quality medications, are patients with follow-up between 1 and 15 years, why were they infected? Did they receive the appropriate medication? Does it cost them attention or is it free? This is part of the socioeconomic pattern and is not described	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ricardo Leon Sanchez Consuegra
Department, University & Country	Universidad Libre de Colombia Barranquilla, Colombia