

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Prevalence and determinants of psychiatric morbidities in Nigerian men with LUTS due to prostatic diseases.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>There are many grammatically mistakes through the manuscript. These mistakes have made meaningless most part of the manuscript.</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> Line8 of the introduction has mentioned some researches, while there is only one reference. More related references are needed. The last paragraph of the introduction "Therefore,...developing country" should be rewritten. Generally it is not clear from the introduction that if LUTS lead to psychiatric disorders or these disorders may lead to LUTS. Causality should be described clearly.</p> <p><b>Material &amp; method:</b> Did you consider intake of drugs related to psychiatric disorders and family history of LUTS? These items should be considered as confounding factors. Were the questionnaire IPSS and HADS validated for Nigerian population?</p> <p><b>Result and discussion:</b> When you are using an abbreviation for the first time, it should be written completely. BPH should be defined in this section. The third paragraph of result section is confusing. It should be rewritten. The name of statistical tests should be mention in the text. Table 3 , 4 are not clear at all. What do they show? Which statistical test was used? Why did not you analyse the association of severity of depression and anxiety with severity of LUTS?</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

## Review Form 1.6

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Samira Rabiei</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Iran</i></b>