



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_67006
Title of the Manuscript:	IS ELEVATED RED BLOOD CELL DISTRIBUTION WIDTH VALUE A PROGNOSTIC MARKER IN SEPSIS PATIENTS?
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Language has to be improved in the places marked for clarity and better understanding of author's statements in this review article	
Optional/General comments	As the topic for review article is not a new concept , robustness of review can be improved by adding more study references with regard to comparison of RDW with other markers of sepsis and its relationship with mortality.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vijayashree Raghavan
Department, University & Country	India