

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_86297
Title of the Manuscript:	Silence in Everyday Conversations amongst Students: A Case of St. Augustine University of Tanzania
Type of the Article	Short research article (journal paper)

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a fundamental research in Sociolinguistics that expounds the value of silence in conversations. To concretize the research findings, I suggest the following major changes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Literature reviewed in the introductory section of the paper should be contextualized to help reveal the problem of the study. The author (s) should indicate how insightful the reviewed work is in their research and any gaps, and how they are filled. 2. Your research is a case study based on selected students of St. Augustine University of Tanzania. This should come out clearly in your data analysis. Your discussion in each of your datum should be based on this case. See my suggestions in the tracked changes 	
Minor REVISION comments	I suggest the following minor changes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Some of your data is in Kiswahili. Translate it into English to benefit the readers who do not understand Kiswahili 2. Proof read and edit your work to communicate clearly and correctly. There are a few grammatical inconsistencies. See the tracked changes 3. Justify use of research instruments such as unstructured interviews. Give an academic reason why you settled on unstructured instead of structured interviews 	
Optional/General comments	Give a clear discussion for each of your datum. For instance, you have intimated that the interlocutors in your last observation remained silent when you approached. Give a justifiable discussion about this. What do other scholars say about such a case. What is your conclusion regarding students' perceptions, attitudes etc regarding intrusion into their conversations	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Victor Ondara Ntabo
Department, University & Country	Karatina University, Kenya