

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_84095
Title of the Manuscript:	Nigerian Pidgin as a National Tool for Communication
Type of the Article	Sociolinguistics

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This study concentrates on Nigerian Pidgin as a suitable language used for both educated and uneducated people even it is regarded as an expression of disapproval by literate people. This study provides an important contribution to the research literature as it shows the importance of Nigerian Pidgin as a national tool for communication specifically in the recent times since it is widely used more than English Pidgin by different ethnic groups.</p> <p>The topic of this study covers comprehensible the concept of "Nigerian Pidgin" and it is generally well written. It is noted that this study is mainly a survey as it gives only a historical background about the concept of Pidgin in general and its relation to Nigerian people in particular. The researcher concludes that this language is used for the purpose of communication between individuals particularly the illiterate people without providing us any quantitative results since the researcher did not use any instruments. The researcher must choose a suitable instruments of collecting data such as questionnaire, interviews, etc based on the type of the study in order to achieve the objectives of this study. This is very important to support and clarify the stated conclusions and the gap in this study. The researcher can use an instrument like a questionnaire or interview which includes samples of the national advertisements mentioned in the paper to see whether these expressions are used more by literate or illiterate people. The results can verify the conclusion stated in this study which then this can clarify the aim of the study.</p> <p>It is noted that some of the electronic references are wrongly cited and they are mentioned at the end of references list. The researcher must mention the full information about these references, not only the URL.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The researcher must clarify the gap of this study in comparing the results of this study with other similar studies.	
Optional/General comments	This work can not be published unless the researcher takes into consideration all the aforementioned comments which are important to improve the study.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zainab Abbodi Ali
Department, University & Country	Southern Technical University, Iraq