

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_84095
Title of the Manuscript:	Nigerian Pidgin as a National Tool for Communication
Type of the Article	Sociolinguistics

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments	<p><b>The author must absolutely comply with the unavoidable components of an</b></p> <p>1- Abstract: The overall and specific objectives, the research methodology and hypotheses Some salient results and; Key points of discussion.</p> <p>2- Introduction: The 2 or 3 research questions ; the detailed overall and specific objectives of the research work: the methodology adopted to guide it,; the expected results and possibly the outline</p> <p>3- The conclusion which is supposed to fully display the findings of the research work along with a summary of the discussion has failed to meet that expectation through its wording. The work would gain more in scientific relevance and visibility if those various aspects could be effectively taken into account.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The work structure does not provide a separate discussion of findings. Instead, it gives some few sentences following the data four categories reporting. The author should know that the data without an appropriate discussion is like a non-processed raw material, lacking therefore value addition.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	However, it should be recognized that this area of research is highly significant for the development , not only of national languages, but also and mostly for the effective formalization of the Nigerian Pidgin as an effective international tool of communication	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashani Michel Dossoumou
Department, University & Country	University of Abomey-Calavi (UAC), Benin Republic