

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_80678
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing foreign language reading comprehension with a peer tutored online flipped classroom approach
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is an interesting study and the authors have collected a unique dataset using online flipped classroom approach. The paper is generally well written and structured. However, in my opinion the paper has some shortcomings in regards to some data analyses and discussions, and I feel this unique dataset has not been utilized to its full extent in the discussion part.</p> <p>Among the areas that need improving in conclusion that will help place the current work in better context of the scientific progress over the past 20+ years.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	In conclusion part, use third person singular.	
Optional/General comments	<p>Overall the information presented represents valuable information regarding foreign language reading comprehension with a peer tutored online flipped classroom approach.</p> <p>Overall, this is a clear, concise, and well-written manuscript. The introduction is relevant. Sufficient information about the previous study findings is presented for readers to follow the present study rationale and procedures. The methods are generally appropriate. Overall, the results are clear.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>.(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aravind B R
Department, University & Country	Vellore Institute Of Technology, India