

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_78570
Title of the Manuscript:	FORMALISM IN THE SERVICE OF THE NAKBA NOVEL: SOHAIL KIWAN'S NOVEL BALAD AL-MANḤŪS AS AN EXAMPLE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A revision of the applied approach is required since Formalism, as the author mentioned in the abstract, is a literary criticism school. It would rather provide readers a way of reading and criticising a text than an author a style of writing.</p> <p>Author should check the draft of the paper very carefully by himself/ herself and then from his/her colleagues with research background. The paper should demonstrate the academic style of writing. Attention should also be paid to correct use of grammar and punctuations.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Dividing the texts into too many sections is not recommended. It affects the cohesion of the text.</p> <p>More recent, practical studies from 2019-2021 on the topic investigated are required to make the review of the related literature relevant. While reviewing the studies by others, the author should critically reflect on such studies and interpret them in line with the aim and objectives of the present study and demonstrate his/her voice in the literature review. It should be noted that a thorough, critical review of the practical, recent studies on the topic reflects the author's readings on the topic. Therefore, one must pay a very serious attention to literature review section to show the work in good quality.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Author should try to show how the study is original and what its contribution has been and for whom so that the study really gets adequate attention from the research fraternity. It does not matter if author repeats himself/herself in different sections of the article. More attention is required regarding literary terms such as different types of irony.</p>	

PART 2:

Review Form 1.6

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Masooome Sehat
Department, University & Country	Yazd Univesity, Iran