

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_78570
Title of the Manuscript:	FORMALISM IN THE SERVICE OF THE NAKBA NOVEL: SOHAIL KIWAN'S NOVEL BALAD AL-MANĤŪS AS AN EXAMPLE
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The author provided a very detailed analysis of the literary text using formalistic approach. Although the manuscript falls under the literary research, it is still under the canopy of descriptive qualitative research, hence, it is suggested to provide a section on the manuscript that would explain the general methodology used. Include also the specific objective/s of the author in conducting the formalistic analysis of the novel. This would also explain the principles that the author adhered to when analysing the literary text. Provide also an explanation in the methodology part how did the author analyse the text or the specific steps that were undertaken.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The author might consider writing in a first-person point of view. Avoid using the word "we."	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The analysis of the author could provide new patterns in the meaning of the novel being studied.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Cailvin D. Reyes</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Don Honorio Ventura State University, Philippines</b>