

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_76191
Title of the Manuscript:	LANGUAGE CHOICE AND NATIONAL IDENTITY AMONG YOUNG MALAY STUDENTS IN A UNIVERSITY DOMAIN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript generally reads well and is well-organized. Compulsory revisions are not many. They include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create a section for recommendations and suggest how the findings should be taken into account when designing institutional policies affecting language use for academic purposes • Ensuring consistency in the references section. Some entries have capital letters and others use lowercase, e.g. <p>Baker, C., & Jones, S. P. (Eds.). (1998). <i>Encyclopedia of Bilingualism and bilingual education</i>. Multilingual Matters.</p> <p>Bilaniuk L (2005) <i>Contested Tongues: Language Politics and Cultural Correction in Ukraine</i>. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press</p> <p>Byram, M. (2006). Languages and Identities. Retrieved July, 29, 2010.</p> <p>Denton, R. A. (2014). <i>Hablo Español, You Know? Language and Identity in the Puerto Rican Diaspora</i></p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript needs proofreading. Here are examples of parts that have errors:</p> <p>A shared common national language that consolidates and cohere its users a tool of communication through which the users melts</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript should make a stronger case for this study in the conclusion. It should say why the findings are significant. What value is this study adding to the body of knowledge?</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joseph Mukuni
Department, University & Country	USA