

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_72521
Title of the Manuscript:	Analytical Study of Sulaiman Layeq's Quatrain
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The verses extracted from Sulaimanlayayea poems should also be written in English language so as to benefit individuals who may not be well versed in Arabic . The author should come out clearly on what informed his analysis of layeq's Quatrain. What is it that is not known about the poets work that the research attempted to address? There is also a need for the author to explicitly explain where the study was carried out . What is the moral lesson delivered from the analysed Layeq's Quatrain? This has to be captured in section 6 of the paper. The heading of section 6 of the paper should read " conclusions and recommendations". Conclusions must be anchored on the findings accruing from the analysed Quatrain of layeq. The author should strengthen the papers recommendations .They are too shallow and to say the least unfocused. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Several grammatic errors have been noted throughout the paper . Ideas presented in the paper have in some instance not coherently presented .This shortcoming has to be addressed. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The author has not aligned his /her references to the APA referencing format .He /she needs to acquaint herself / himself 7th Edition of the APA publication manual . 	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Kanjogu Kiumi
Department, University & Country	Laikipia University ,Kenya