

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Geographical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_85707
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Building Sustainability in the Urban Environment: An Integration of Road and Water Transportation Systems in Port Harcourt Metropolis</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Empirical studies</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajgr.com/index.php/AJGR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The article is an empirical studies, aimed at addressing a pressing issue in most of Nigerian major cities. The structure of the paper is presented in thesis format which must change. The Authors must review the followings</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The background must address the focus of the study and be précises and conscience</li> <li>2. The methodology should be re-write to address the focus of the research, the data collection tools and techniques need be scientific.</li> <li>3. The result presentation is not clear.</li> <li>4. The reliability of the data is doubtful, because some questions are multi-choice therefore the total should not equal to number of respondents</li> <li>5.</li> </ol> <p>The article that may be of interest to a wide audience. Overall, the concept is consistent with the scope of Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International. If some improvements are made, I think it deserves to be published in your journal.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The used of percentage alone is inadequate to drive a logical conclusion on such type of studies. Consider formulating hypothesis to test using inferential statistical tool.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Ahmed Abubakar Jajere</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Kashere, Nigeria</b>