

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_89310
Title of the Manuscript:	Preliminary evaluation of the flours produced from the fruits of <i>Borassus aethiopum</i> Mart acclimated in Côte d'Ivoire for food products development
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Few methods for determination of constituents are explained by just giving reference by which was determined for eg. ash, moisture, proteins, fat, Total polyphenolics and antinutrients content and Minerals bioavailability. And other methods are fully described like water absorption capacity, swelling capacity etc. Follow the similarity.2. To describe the significance of the data provided in the every tables, you described as "Per line, means±sd bearing different alphabets are significantly different". What does per line means... it can be column or row... so describe it correctly.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The introduction started as "A report by WFP".. What is this WFP, expend it. Don't use short forms for first explanation.2. Rewrite the conclusion to conclude the research finding, with some future scope or suggestions.3.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deepika Kohli
Department, University & Country	Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture and Technology, India