

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_88019
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDIES OF WORT PROPERTIES OF YELLOW MAIZE AND RED SORGHUM VARIETIES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Determination of the Presence of Tannins: The assay is incomplete; the authors must identify a reference that explains the procedure	
Minor REVISION comments	Materials: The authors must argue why our yellow maize and red sorghum were not identified for the study. Results: I suggest removing from the paragraph the list of parameters that are presented in tables 1-3	
Optional/General comments	Conclusion: The conclusions are extensive and general, I suggest a direct wording	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adonis Bello Alarcón
Department, University & Country	Universidad De Guayaquil, Ecuador