

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_87737
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBIOGRAM OF Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumoniae AND Escherichia coli ISOLATES RECOVERED FROM READY TO EAT FOOD SAMPLES IN ULI CAMPUS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajb2t.com/index.php/AJB2T/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author(s) need to revise the work extensively.</p> <p>Title: I suggest 'Isolation, identification and antibiogram of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>, <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> and <i>Escherichia Coli</i> from Ready to Eat Food Samples in Uli Campus, Nigeria'</p> <p>Abstract: The method used for antibiogram is missing. The concluding statement was ambiguous, which necessitated a recast.</p> <p>Introduction: Background and statement of the problem of the work are not clearly stated.</p> <p>There is need for more literature search to support the introduction.</p> <p>Materials and methods Description and map of the place where the research was conducted is lacking.</p> <p>Which random sampling was used for the sample collection? The sampling technique used should be written in full.</p> <p>Data analyses: No information was provided on data analyses. This will enable comparison contaminations of the food types as well as between the bacterial spp.</p> <p>Results The aim of the study is not meant to be repeated as the first paragraph and should be deleted. Contents of tables should be in straight lines.</p> <p>Discussion Aim of the is not meant to be repeated as the first paragraph and should be deleted.</p> <p>Many sentences are ambiguous and should be recast as shown in the corrections in the manuscript.</p> <p>References The referencing method should be consistent. While the first and/or second names of the authors in 1 and 4 are written in full, the rest are written as abbreviations.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. J. Ogugua
Department, University & Country	University of Nigeria, Nigeria