

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_89102
Title of the Manuscript:	Fish Consumption Behavior during COVID-19 Pandemic in Bekasi City (Case Study in Pondok Ungu Permai)
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I read your paper with interest, I believe that your paper deals with a potentially important and timely topic. I do hope you will find the comments helpful.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION The primary purpose of an introductory paragraph is to pique the interest of your reader (What is the controversy?). What is the relationship of fish consumption with food security during COVID-19? See for example: 1- Hirvonen, K., De Brauw, A., & Abate, G. T. (2021). Food consumption and food security during the COVID-19 pandemic in Addis Ababa. American journal of agricultural economics, 103(3), 772-789. 2- Mouloudj, K., Bouarar, A. C., & Fechit, H. (2020). The impact of COVID-19 pandemic on food security. Les cahiers du CREAD, 36(3), 159-184.)</p> <p>METHODOLOGY Author(s) say nothing about the questionnaire tests prior to the main research, data collection procedure nor do they justify it. This has to be largely improved.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>DISCUSSION: The results obtained should be compared with the results of previous studies (The comparison is completely absent in this paper).</p> <p>REFERENCE - Very few references. The authors seem to disregard or neglect some important results that have been recently achieved in this specific field. Please use reputable references:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Hassen, T. B., El Bilali, H., Allahyari, M. S., Berjan, S., & Fotina, O. (2021). Food purchase and eating behavior during the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional survey of Russian adults. Appetite, 165, 105309. - Mandal, S. C., Boidya, P., Haque, M. I. M., Hossain, A., Shams, Z., & Mamun, A. A. (2021). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on fish consumption and household food security in Dhaka city, Bangladesh. Global Food Security, 29, 100526. - Ruiz-Salmón, I., Fernández-Ríos, A., Campos, C., Laso, J., Margallo, M., & Aldaco, R. (2021). The fishing and seafood sector in the time of COVID-19: Considerations for local and global opportunities and responses. Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health, 23, 100286. - White, E. R., Froehlich, H. E., Gephart, J. A., Cottrell, R. S., Branch, T. A., Agrawal Bejarano, R., & Baum, J. K. (2021). Early effects of COVID-19 on US fisheries and seafood consumption. Fish and Fisheries, 22(1), 232-239. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • You need to suggest the managerial implications in two or three paragraphs, with details in the deductions. For example, how firms, markets, economy, consumers and so forth can use the results from your research. 	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kamel Mouloudj
Department, University & Country	University of Medea, Algeria