

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_88908
Title of the Manuscript:	Term Trade Analysis of Fisher in Karimunjava Village, Jepara
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The title will be more suitable if it will be change</li> <li>2. The paper is original, but it is not yet developed</li> <li>3. The author/s need to developed the literature review and new references to the text</li> <li>4. The author/s need also to develop the results analysis of the study, in the methodology part they just describe each test ....</li> <li>5. Reference number 25 is without date</li> <li>6. The references are not written with the same style. The author/s need to follow the guide of the journal</li> <li>7. Some sentences are not clear as 'Sources of data collected in this study are primary data and secondary data.' For that , the paper need improving language</li> <li>8. The objectif of the study is unlear? what is the objectif to study the fisher's term of trade Karimunjava Village ? authors need to develop more.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The conclusion must be improved</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Arbia Hlali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tunisia</b>