

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_88625
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect Of Giving Various Sources Natural β -Carotene To Increased Color Intensity On The Oranda Goldfish (<i>Carassius auratus</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The study duration and location should not be written in the abstract. 2) Reference in the text an at the end is not consistent (eg Lesmana 2002 in text, in reference Lesmana 1997 Fitriyani 2005 in text, no reference at the end Storebakken and No1992 in text, Hong in reference instead of No 3) Spelling for beta carotene (not betakarotin or betakaroten) 4) The right punctuation should be used for the numbers (eg 66,823,000 and T 24.4, pH 6.89, DO 5.8) throughout the article 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The content of beta carotene from the reference preferably in the same unit (eg μg) 2) No need to mention the time (eg dec 2021 to feb 2022) in the materials and method 3) The English grammar can still be improved further throughout the article (eg with criteria not colorblind – something missing in the sentence, inaccurate use of word, prepositions, sometimes the sentence is too long without a proper preposition) (eg with criteria not colorblind – something missing in the sentence, inaccurate use of word, prepositions, sometimes the sentence is too long without a proper preposition). 4) The conclusion should not be repeated from the discussions. 	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaiful Azuar Mohamad
Department, University & Country	Malaysia