

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_86385
Title of the Manuscript:	A mini-Review : The Role of Chitosan in Aquaculture Fish Health Management
Type of the Article	Mini review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The work is a review of previous researchers on Chitosan and it is commendable.</p> <p>The pattern of the reference should be spelt out and adhere to</p> <p>Despite being a reviewed work, it can still be spelt out under Introduction, Literature Review, Methodology, Findings & Discussion, Conclusion & Recommendation and References. With this, it will be easier for users of the work to flow with the study easily.</p> <p>The Literature Review will encompass the recent works up to the last five years at the first instance, and then be extended to the last 10 years.</p> <p>Methodology will now spell out how we relate the literature review to current situations, are there patterns observed? Are there things observed or left out? Are you comparing these works on yearly basis or as per the performance of the fish under specific dosages of Chitosan?</p> <p>Findings & Discussion will now tell us what you observed while reviewing all of these works. These observations are then discussed. For example, where there are changes in respect to the dosage of Chitosan, what was the change? What was the effect? Any improvement? It is here you will now compare the findings of various authors to ascertain a common ground if any.</p> <p>From here, your conclusion and recommendation is drawn.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Be specific and stick to "Chitosan" or "Kitosan" as I have observed on the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	The work is good and commendable.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akinfiresoye Waleola Ayo
Department, University & Country	Nigeria