

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_82663
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Factors Affecting Fishermen's Income at the Cikidang Fish Landing Port, Babakan Village, Pangandaran Regency, West Java Province, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is a timely article examining West Java fishermen's income. I just have 2 comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Can the author include some sample characteristics in the "Method" section? I am concerned that the sample may not be representative of the fisherman population in West Java. For example, you can describe the number (or %) of sampled fishermen by region, age, income, capital, time at sea, etc. 2. The author may try a well known regression technique called "Stepwise regression". The logic is that you start with no significant factors. Then you sequentially try each factor to see if it is significant. For example, you may find out X2 (capital) to be the first significant factor. Then, it may be possible that after including significant factor X3(long time at sea), then X2 (capital) may become insignificant. The logic is that a fisherman who has spent long time at sea may have already accumulated lots of capital, hence X2 may become redundant after including X3. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eric A. L. Li
Department, University & Country	The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong