

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_77729
Title of the Manuscript:	The Potency of Ludwigia adscendens and L. octovalvis As Phytoremediator Macrophytes in Indonesia
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The authors say that Ludiwiga adscendens has the tendency to increase mosquitoes. However, when compared to L.octovalvis, it is much less invasive. So as a potential phytoremediator, which would be a better option for non-native areas? It would be preferable if the authors could provide some clarification regarding the same.</p> <p>A paragraph can be added regarding the possible future studies that should be conducted on these two species for better assessment of their phytoremediation potential.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Narayani. S</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>