

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJFAR_76495 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Characterization of Surimi Based Edible Film From Mackerel Tuna Dark Muscle |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) In the Material and Methods, use the past tense throughout.2) In the Abstract, when you mention the treatments mention as 8%, 10% and 12% of surimi concentration.3) he title of chapter is , is it just Results or Results and Discussion, because, the discussion has been done in Results itself.4) Table 2. About Table 2 please mention in the results that there was no significant difference between the results. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Tables to be formatted and aligned in such a way that they appear in a single column. | |
| Optional/General comments | This Manuscript has been prepared meticulously. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name: | Ravindragouda Patil |
| Department, University & Country | Karnataka Veterihnary, Animal and Fisheries Sciences University (KVAFSU), India |