

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_74689
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effects of Replacing Soyabean Meal with Fermented Bambara Groundnut Meal (<i>Vignasubterranea</i>) Meal in the Diet of <i>Clariasgariepinus</i>Fingerlings</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>In this paper, authors made an excellent attempt to evaluate the effect of replacing soybean meal with Fermented Bambara Groundnut Meal (FBGM) on the growth performance and feed utilization of <i>C. gariepinus</i>. But I found some limitations of the paper. The comments are mentioned below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In the abstract section it is better to include one sentence that indicates the existing problem or gap in the field that motivates the author to conduct this research.</li> <li>2. I would suggest to find the existing research gaps of previous studies properly at regional and global scale (if possible).</li> <li>3. The statement of the problem must be reshaped in order to convince readers and the scientific community on the relevance of the study</li> <li>4. Use recent literatures to support your statements.</li> <li>5. The contribution of the study to the field is not stated.</li> <li>6. What was the scientific reason for determining the stocking of 10 fish aquarium tank<sup>-1</sup></li> <li>7. I suggest the author to test eminent one-way ANOVA assumptions like; Independence of observations, homogeneity of variance, no significant outlier and normal distribution. Once tested, the author will determine the analysis method to be used? Parametric or non-parametric? If assumptions are not fulfilled the author can use Kruskal-Wallis.</li> <li>8. It needs in-depth discussion and empirical support on the major findings</li> <li>9. The conclusion should follow the standardized scientific writing method:- by restating the research problem addressed in the paper, summarize the overall findings and limitations and future research direction that must be addressed.</li> <li>10. There must be clear recommendation on the methods of application and further studies that has to be carried out in order to fill the author's gap. Example: further experiment on ;..... On other fish species like <i>O. niloticus</i> (Tilapia), effect on the meat of the fish</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It is also good if the null and alternative hypothesis are presented in the methodology part</li> <li>2. Why only captured for 84 days? Why not for 6 months, 4 months etc? What were your scientific reasons? The paper must be supported with these reasons.</li> <li>3. Follow appropriate referencing methods</li> <li>4. If possible, use the recent and adequate sources in the introduction section</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5. The experiment and its protocols should be exhaustively narrated thus readers or other development agents can easily apply such feed as a supplement or replacement of soybean.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Erkie Asmare Beyene</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bahir-Dar Fisheries and Other Aquatic Life Research Center, Ethiopia</b>