

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_90186
Title of the Manuscript:	Gender and the meaning of work: A critical approach to career orientation based on the cases of women working at the Megalopolis mines at the factory of the Hellenic Public Power Corporation (DEI).
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <p>The Author's abstract is concise and apt. it adequately captured the essential features of Abstract vis: objective, extant literature, theoretical perspective methodology of data collection and findings. The keywords were identified accordingly.</p> <p>The Author is examination of the subject matter with historical process was dutifully projected in the work. The meaning of work in the sociological interpretation was x-rayed for comprehension. In fact, the Gender, stereotyped class discriminations associated with profession were treated in the work.</p> <p>In examining the theoretical perspective of the work, the author reviewed different and divergent views of the scholars in relations of occupation, profession, position in hierarchy based on gender and social origins.</p> <p>The author further illustrated four categories of distinctions of work orientation which are critical to work operations. These four professional orientation are instrumental, bureaucratic, solidary and higher professional.</p> <p>The operational synthesis of the Gender and the meaning of work were illustrated with the effective Public Power Corporation Factory in Megalopolis with a heavy equipment operator, a nurse and a social worker. Indeed, the research and in-depth interview on the subject matter gave plausible and impressive information.</p> <p>The Author's article is original in content, written in simple and lucid language, and blended with analytical prescriptions. Argument were handled objectively and presented with balance and erudition with the mentality of value-neutral.</p> <p>In fact, a painstaking brief conclusion by the Author could have added more flavor, fluid and empirical meaning to the work.</p> <p>However, the work is scientifically robust and empirically researched for effective and efficient understanding of the subject matter.</p> <p>It should be published, after minor revision.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Minor revision to the work is objective, sound in content technically, packaged and analytically blended. There is little room for minor revision.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The article is original with relevant attributes of comprehensive, descriptive and factor analysis.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ebiziem Jude Ebiziem</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Alvan Ikoku Federal College of Education, Nigeria</b>