

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_89776
Title of the Manuscript:	The Implementation of Role Play to Improve Speaking Skill: An Action Research with Grade Six Students
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ You have to pay attention to what kind of English do you use to write this scientific report (American or British English). Sometimes you used British English (analysed, learnt, etc.), whereas you mentioned other American English words (analyzed). ✓ In my opinion, there SHOULD NOT BE Overarching Research Question and Sub Questions as there had been a Research Objective. ✓ After much consideration, it would be better, if you didn't REPEAT the SAME SENTENCE(S). It could RUIN the value of your research paper. ✓ You only focused on the way to prove that role play influences on students' ability in speaking, hence, you didn't mention how the students played that role play (game/challenge). Please describe this one clearly, if you desire, to strengthen the value of your scientific paper. ✓ 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Grammar errors, as in: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) English language is the driving force for developing interpersonal skills in every walks of life. - It should be every walk of life. (every is ALWAYS followed by SINGULAR NOUN); (2) There are lots of reasons for not being able to communicate in English:... - It should be lots of reason. (lots of is ALWAYS followed by singular uncountable noun); (3) According to [4] it consist of three part namely: situational analysis, analysis of competence of the people involved, and 'literature'. - It should be "it (what?)" consists; (4) It is because the positive aspect of the role play technique in EFL classroom is it develops confidence and creativity on students as they are motivated to take part in speaking skills. - It should be TWO different sentences that are (1) It is due to the positive aspect of the role play technique in EFL classroom. and (2) It develops confidence and creativity on students as they are motivated to take part in speaking skills. ✓ ✓ Vocabulary errors, as in: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Moreover, the primary school teachers in Bhutan seemed to be using a limited range of strategies and materials, which did not attend to individual needs and interests [3]. - You mean, which did not fit individual needs and interests? (only an alternative); (2) New and varieties of teaching techniques must be adopted to develop the speaking skills of the Bhutanese students. - It would be better, new and varied teaching techniques (both new and varied are adjective); (3) This is derived from a French word (reconnaitre – to look at) and has connections with 	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>warfare (to survey the scene to find out strategic points of interest). - It would be better, has connection to/with;</p> <p>(4) According to [4] it consist of three part namely: situational analysis, analysis of competence of the people involved, and 'literature'. - What do you mean with "it" in this context?;</p> <p>(5) After teaching English for more than 24 years at various class levels in the schools of Bhutan. The teacher researcher has learned that... - It should be one sentence, however, could you change the term "the teacher researcher"? It is obviously a distraction;</p> <p>(6) From all these experiences, the teacher researcher have realized that this issue deserved some immediate attention so as to avoid uncertain consequences in future. - Could you change the term "the teacher researcher"? It is obviously a distraction;</p> <p>(7) In-order to become a well-rounded communicator one needs to be proficient in each of the four language skills viz., listening, speaking, reading and writing,... - It would better, e.g. listening, speaking, reading and writing;</p> <p>(8) Thus, the teacher researcher felt the need to identify students' English speaking barriers so as to enhance English speaking ability and academic performances. - Could you change the term "the teacher researcher"? It is obviously a distraction;</p> <p>(9) Students get to experiment the language learnt making up their own dialogue and they get an opportunity to explore beyond what has been learnt in the classroom drawing on a full range of their language competencies. - You mean, get to experience?;</p> <p>(10) The students have opportunities for stimulating their speaking skills which they can perform easily in the front of the class. - It would be better, in front of class;</p> <p>(11) The teacher researcher adopted a quantitative research approach which... - Could you change the term "the teacher researcher"? It is obviously a distraction;</p> <p>(12) To find out the reliability of the assessment rubrics, the teacher researcher conducted the reliability test with a different group of 20 grade five students in the same school. - Could you change the term "the teacher researcher"? It is obviously a distraction;</p> <p>(13) Moreover, it develops vocabularies which help to choose suitable words that suit in the situation. - It would be better, that fit the situation;</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Purwitasari
Department, University & Country	University of Freiburg, Indonesia