

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_89739
Title of the Manuscript:	Experiences of Physical Education Teachers on Students' Assessment In Times Of Covid-19 Pandemic
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The study is generally well described and designed. What needs to be corrected is to separate the Results from the Discussion. Also, what is written as RESULTS AND DISCUSSION is too extensive and too voluminous, written as a seminar paper which is not in accordance with the original scientific study.</p> <p>All subtitles written in this way within this chapter, as well as table 3, are unnecessary. In Results, just focus on the specific answers received, and in Discussion, explain everything from the goal of the research to the issues of the study and comparison with similar studies, which have been written during the COVID 19 pandemic.</p> <p>Throw out CONSENT as well.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Correct the study according to the above suggestions. There is no need to send me for revision again, but only for the above to be corrected and accepted for publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Milan Zelenovic
Department, University & Country	University of East Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina