

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_88613
Title of the Manuscript:	Up-skilling Metalwork Technology for Relevance in 21st Century Workplace: Innovative Approach towards Repositioning TVET Institutions in Rivers State for Global Competiveness
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Topic: "...competiveness". It is a interesting topic. Will it better if change to "competitiveness"?</p> <p>Abstract: Well-written.</p> <p>Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Good. 2) Page 2: "According to Mohammed & Saud (2016)...". The citation is missing from your reference list. 3) Page 2: "Ehimen (2018) further...". Please change to "Ehimen and Ezeora (2018) further...". 4) Page 2: "Hence, to sustain the pace of development in metal industry in Nigeria and the metalwork programme...". Author may explain the current situation of metal industry in Nigeria and the status of the metal work programme to draw the motivation of the reader. 5) Page 2: "Erickson & Oliveri (2016) identified...". Please change to "Enclean & Oliveri (2016) identified...". 6) Page 3: "According to Barnett (2015) employers...". Please change to "According to Barnett (2011) employers...". 7) Page 3: Statement of the Problem is well addressed. It would be better if the author could put the latest citation. <p>Methodology:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Page 4: "The study adopted a questionnaire consisting of 20 items for data collection." Please state your resources. 2) Page 4-5: "The reliability of the instrument was determined using the Cronbach Alpha Reliability test..." What is the value of the Cronbach Alpha Reliability test? <p>Results and Discussion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Page 5-6: Please tidy up your Table 1 and Table 2. 2) Page 7: Please add some of the latest citation (back to 5 years) in your "Discussion of finding". 3) Page 7: "This study also agree with Ehimen (2018) that...". Please change to "This study also agree with Ehimen and Ezeora (2018) that..." <p>Conclusion: Good</p> <p>References: Please remove the below references as there are not applicable in this manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Ekeagwu, A.U. (2015). Assessment of the Utilization of principle components of metalwork programmes of technical colleges in South-East, Nigeria. Unpublished Doctorate theses. University of Nigeria, Nsuka, Nigeria 2) Ismail, K., Nopiah, M. Z. & Satter, M. R. (2018). Challenges faced by Vocational Teachers in Public Skills Training Institutions. A Reality in Malaysia. Journal of technical education and training, 10(2),13-27. 3) Oviawe, J. L. & Lukman, A. (2017). Workforce skills in Technical Education as a catalyst for the 21st century.ISOR journal of Research &Method in Education, 7(3), 01-08. 	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	-	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oh Zi Jian
Department, University & Country	Batu Lanchang Vocational College, Malaysia