

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_88417
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Ethics in Physics Instruction in Secondary Schools
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper is not easy to read, especially the Introduction part and the subchapters. In the whole text one can find problematic and unclear formulations. The part concerning models has an indirect connection to the content of the introduction.</p> <p>Figure 1 - what is the aim of this spiral, how to read this graph? A better illustration is available for example at https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Backgrounds-and-educational-objectives-of-science-ethics-education_fig1_234824187</p> <p>It is not explained, what is the main purpose of the part Assessing Ethics Secondary Science- what is the meaning, the explanation in the paragraph is vague.</p> <p>The part Teaching Ethics in Secondary School Physics – presented examples are mainly from chemistry, biology.</p> <p>Precise definition of ethics in science is missing.</p> <p>Table 1.0 - Physics teachers could not use models, Jigsaw method etc. – the question is if they can't or don't want to.</p> <p>Table 2 – (3) decision strongly ? what?</p> <p>On the other hand – the formulation of research questions is O.K. so as the presentation of results in tables.</p> <p>I am missing more recent references to this topic.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Models – paragraph Introduction – why, explain it</p> <p>Spell check</p> <p>Wording</p> <p>Check the use of capital letters, punctuation</p> <p>Add the definition of ethics in science.</p>	
Optional/General comments	This paper needs to be rewritten – the introduction, the general comments in subchapters.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Renata Holubova
Department, University & Country	Palacky University, Czech Republic