

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_88259
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Assessment of Mathematical Intuitive Imagination Literacy
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 In the abstract there are no objectives, methods (eg literature review), data collection techniques (eg data obtained from Google Scholar), data analysis techniques (eg content analysis or interactive analysis), and conclusions/findings.</li> <li>2 keywords have not shown important points in the paper. usually reflected in the title of the paper (e.g. assessment, mathematical intuition, mathematical imagination, mathematical literacy).</li> <li>3 in the introduction there is no GAP analysis.</li> <li>4 the reference should sort the authors alphabetically (pay attention to the journal template) and write according to the rules used (eg APA style). endeavored to be able to use applications that can compile references properly.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 The conclusions given have not shown a solution to the purpose of the paper. please add suggestions that can be used by high school teachers.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	in general the article is good, coherent, and interesting	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indah Suciati
Department, University & Country	Alkhairaat University, Indonesia