

## Review Form 1.6

Essay

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_88227
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on Evaluating the Instructional Design Ability of Pre-service Mathematics Teachers
Type of the Article	Essay

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The Title is not appropriate, the authors should critically take a second look at the title and amend as appropriate.                      The geographical location of study not indicated.                      The background is very weak, there is no problem stated and justification for the study. Similarly, there is no stated objectives and the research design employed. Literature reviewed was very poor and not up to date. No theoretical or conceptual base for the study. There are too many assumptions and overgeneralization of points which the authors could not be verified. There is need for a complete overhauling of the manuscript . The authors need to indicate exactly what was done and how it was carried out. The international readers need to be carried along.                      There are editorial errors in the manuscript. Area of correctios are:                      Abstract to contain the right title, specific objectives/ultimate goal,the method and reults/conclusion                      Background to indicate the gap and the problem the study is addressing                      Literature reviewed to include conceptual review                      Theoretical review                      Methology: the design, sample, instrument and its validation                      Reults and discussion of findings                      Conclusion and recommendation</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sofowora Olaniyi Alaba
Department, University & Country	Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria