

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_87776
Title of the Manuscript:	Unveiling the Disaster Risk Management Practices and Challenges of Ata Mandaya Tribe in the Hinterland of Panabo City
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	There are statistical analyses within the writing, which can be strengthened for future work with all the information generated.	
Optional/General comments	<p>The article presented has a high relevance from the point of view of interculturality and the problems generated by the loss of ancestral knowledge of native communities, from different points of analysis, encompassing one of the main global problems such as the disaster risk management practices by native communities that are normally marginalized.</p> <p>The method used in the research is adequate (intentional sampling technique), since the procedure used and the causes that generate it are clearly evidenced: for a future document it is suggested to present some statistical analysis tools that help qualitative analysis.</p> <p>The data presented in the document are solid and consistent with the discourse presented, supported by authors who corroborate what is expressed and current in the area of knowledge.</p> <p>The conclusions generated by the authors, despite being short, are coherent and result from the analysis of the data, which broaden the vision of other authors who work in this area of knowledge.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luis Fredy Sosa Quintero
Department, University & Country	Universidad Santo Tomás, Colombia