

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_87125
Title of the Manuscript:	Adolescent and Youth Sexual and Reproductive Health in Sri Lanka: Are policies and strategies geared to address issues?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the paper is interesting especially the qualitative approach. However, it needs serious improvements.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Make two separate sections one for the Introduction and one for your literature review 2. In the Introduction describe briefly and clearly why you are writing the paper. Provide sufficient background information for the reader to understand and evaluate the approach. Give the rationale for the study and at the end add the structure of the paper. 3. Make a separate section with your literature review. 4. The results and discussion section has to be improved. In each of the subsection first Review the policies, next discuss the findings of the focus groups and give some quotes. Then discuss the findings of the key informants and give also some quotes. Some sections like 3.2 are well written but others are very poor. Please write the quotes enclosed in quotation marks, and use italics 5. Conclusions are very poor and implications of the study are missing 6. References are not written consistently 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vasiliki Vrana
Department, University & Country	International Hellenic University, Greece