

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_86885
Title of the Manuscript:	Social media tools in Moodle for teaching writing skill of non-English major students at Dong Nai Technology university
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The grammar and sentence structure need major changes. Some suggestions are in the annotated feedback of the paper.2. The space between a full stop and the new word needs to be unified (either one or two spaces).3. Please check spelling mistakes. You can use the Review tool from Microsoft Word or Grammarly.4. You need to be consistent. (example: the internet is sometimes written in small letters and other times in capital letters; pre-test and post-test: pretest and posttest).5. Please check concord mistakes.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. You need to check that all your in-text citations are accurate (including the surname and the year).2. Spelling mistakes and typo	
Optional/General comments	The article is authentic, with a very low plagiarism rate according to Turnitin and Grammarly (6%). However, the article suffers from many language and technical mistakes. Therefore, I suggest that the author use the journal's proofreading and editing services or consult a native speaker.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hajar Mahfoodh
Department, University & Country	University of Bahrain, Bahrain