

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJESS_86852 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EFFECTIVENESS OF SCI-MATH BOARD GAME FOR ELEMENTARY SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>Thank you for the opportunity to review this article. The time involved in submitting your manuscript is greatly appreciated. In my humble opinion, there are some issues that you must be taken into account:</p> <p>In the introduction I recommend rewriting the paragraphs that include legislation. These paragraphs are not very smooth to read.</p> <p>The locale of study part is out of place. The history of the school is irrelevant for a scientific publication. It is not interesting to know the names of the teachers and the history of the building.</p> <p>Table 6 is wrong: total do not appear</p> <p>After table 16, H0 is mentioned but before that, the hypothesis of the study are not been stated. Maybe they could be added in Research Design part.</p> <p>I recommend adding a limitation part of the study to make proposals for improvement.</p> <p>The references are updated but each one is written according to a different structure, I recommend unifying the same form of presentation.</p> <p>The quasi-experimental study design is simple, I recommend for future studies to use randomisation and have a control group to check that other variables are not influencing the results.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Marta Peña Ramos |
| Department, University & Country | Universidad Europea Miguel de Cervantes (EUMC), España |