

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_83419
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLES OF COUNSELLING EDUCATION IN THE REHABILITATION OF TEACHERS WITH PSYCHOPATHIC ISSUES IN ENUGU STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Descriptive Survey Research Design

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is an excellent manuscript and one whose ideas need to be disseminated. I reviewed the manuscript for accuracy, clarity, and readability, and left suggestions and queries for further improvement and refining.	
Minor REVISION comments	In my first reading of the document, I focused on correcting minor errors such as problems with grammar and spelling, typographical errors, and ensuring that the spellings and usage conformed to academic language. My second reading was the heaviest review stage. At this point, I focused on sentence structure, clarity of expression, and improving the style and flow of the writing by reducing wordiness. I used the comment tool to suggest further changes where I was unsure of the intended meaning or felt a sentence or passage needed to be moved or replaced; I have also included comments to explain why specific changes were made.	
Optional/General comments	<p>Finally, I reviewed the structure of the paper and the arguments, making suggestions for further changes where I felt something could be better placed elsewhere or where I felt more (or less) detail was needed.</p> <p>After my comments have been addressed and my recommendations accepted, I recommend the manuscript be accepted for publication.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	James McKivigan
Department, University & Country	Touro University Nevada, USA