

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_83279
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Cognition of Pre-Service High School Mathematics Teachers for Implementing Mathematical Abstract Literacy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>METHODOLOGY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Majority of the participant was Female students; there is need for more Male students in order to balance the gender equality. Two questions were not enough for the open ended interview The researcher did not process the data with any software tool <p>RESULT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> COGNITIVE FOCUS: It will be better idea for the items in both General and Class Teaching to be equal(Teachers and student perspective should be equal to give both side benefit of out) COGNITIVE COMPREHENSIVENESS/ REASONABLENESS: The Total Percentage of both General teaching and Classroom Teaching should be 100%, But those presented in the paper was above that. <p>REFERENCES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The references need to be in APA format Some references were not in the papers Citation should be formatted (Zhang and others=Zhang et al.; Dong and others=Dong et al.; Wang and others= Wang et al., Professor Yu Ping= Yu) 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>GRAMMARTICAL ERRORS There is need for the paper to be proof readed again to deal with the grammatical errors that exist in the paper.</p> <p>KEYWORDS The KEYWORDS that exist in the paper are too long. But suggestion were made as follows</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Teachers Students Pre-Service Teachers Mathematical abstract 	
Optional/General comments	NO COMMENTS	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yahaya Garba Shawai
Department, University & Country	National Open University of Nigeria, Nigeria