

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_83019
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Educators' beliefs on the gender difference in mathematics performance of their students</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The author(s) shows some understanding of the title and the study. However, there are some concerns about this manuscript; the author(s) should address the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The background did not adequately address the three research questions. For instance, achiever level and level of schools were missing in the background.</li> <li>2. It will help if you rewrite this section. You need to understand and write a statement of the problem in the following ways: First, what is the correct gender difference in mathematics should be globally and in your Location? Second, what is the current situation (problems) with recent citations (gender gap in math academic performance)? Third, how to solve the problem, which your present study is aimed at through your title should be stated</li> <li>3. The literature review section did not review critical keywords in the research questions, for instance, achiever level, school level and academic performance in mathematics.</li> <li>4. The result and discussion section are weak and need to be reviewed.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Most cited papers were not recent.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes! How the author(s) got the participants consent is not stated	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aina Jacob Kola</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College Of Education (Technical) Lafiagi, Nigeria</b>