

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_82393
Title of the Manuscript:	Family Support and Academic Self-Efficacy as Determinants of Post Preliminary Training School Psychological Wellbeing among Nursing Candidates
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As per journal guidelines for original research Papers that include original empirical data that have not been published anywhere earlier (except as an abstract). Null/negative findings and replication/refutation findings are also welcome. This type of paper normally should not exceed 25 double-spaced pages of text (including references) and should not contain more than 15 figures/tables. We advise a length of 3000-6000 words (including everything). But here length of words is 8360 excluding abstract. Also manuscript is in single spaced format which should be double spaced. Or if the paper is short research type then also it is not in the desired length of words. 2. The text, excluding the abstract, if required, can be divided into numbered sections with brief headings. Starting from introduction with section 1. Subsections should be numbered (for example 2.1 (then 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.2, etc.), up to three levels for better holding of reader attention worldwide. 3. Research Papers should follow the structure of Abstract, Introduction, Methodology, Results and Discussion, Conclusion, Acknowledgements, Competing Interests, Authors' Contributions, Consent (where applicable), Ethical approval (where applicable), and References plus figures and/or tables.) 4. The abstract should be concise and informative. It should not exceed 300 words in length. It should briefly describe the purpose of the work, techniques and methods used major findings with important data and conclusions. Different sub-sections, as given below, should be used. Generally, on-standard abbreviations should not be used; if necessary they should be clearly defined in the abstract, at first use. 5. For referencing please follow journal s guideline as the references are not in correct format. 6. Also, References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. 	
Minor REVISION comments	All sources of funding must be acknowledged along with grant numbers. In case there aren't any, please mention as 'None'.	
Optional/General comments	The authors are advised to check the English used throughout manuscript. Literature part is simply irrelevant and very poorly composed.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shashi Verma
Department, University & Country	Shri Ram Murti Smarak College of Engineering & Technology (Pharmacy), India