

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_82279
Title of the Manuscript:	An Evaluation of 'Widening Participation and the Provision of High Quality Teaching and Learning' in Higher Education Improvement Programmes: A Case Study of the University of Buea
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The background and review literature parts needs revision: should follow the article format (according to the APA Style). The methodology part is not clear to me. What is the difference among the topics ... design ... approach ... methodology, and ... methods? It should be include the design, participants, data instruments and method of analysis. The study should be according the article format. I feel that the study ground is from another study (like: thesis). If it is true, please arrange the conetents and organizational formats again. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I feel that the references are not permanently not alphabetical. Please edit the whole references in the text and the orders and format in the references orders. The contents of the the study should rearrange, according the APA 6th or 7th editions. The methodology part should be clear for readers. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If the Journal format helps me, the background and the review literature parts should merge as one major topic (e.g; background of the study). The topics of the manuscript should contain the following main topics (if the journal format helps this): Introduction, methodology, data analysis, discussion, conclusion and references. 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hailay Tesfay Gebremariam
Department, University & Country	Arba Minch University, Ethiopia