

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_81507
Title of the Manuscript:	College of SPEAR Graduate Studies Students Ethical Decision Making: A Foundation for a Potential-Edifice Strategy
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Literature Review: the literature part should review on charity principle, stewardship principle, social responsiveness theory, social performance theory, ethical language theory and ethics of care theory. • Methodology: The focus for this study is to assess how the school administrator in making ethical decision making. However, the use of postgraduate students especially from the programme of Physical Education is less appropriate. This group of students is trained to become sport director/administrator, they maybe lack in knowledge for handling the duties and responsibilities of a school administrator. Researcher should not assume that the job functions for sport administrator and school administrator are the same. • Table 8: What are the criteria to determine strengths and weaknesses of ethical decision-making practices? • Table 9: This table is basically designed to assess school administrator ethical decision-making practices, but your respondents are a group of postgraduate students, they are not qualified to answer all the questions. You need to redesign the questions on table 9. • Potential-Edifice Strategy is not discussed in this paper. • No limitation is explained • Some short forms without indicators. Eg: SPEAR, MSPE, WM, DE 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lee Bee Yoke
Department, University & Country	Tunku Abdul Rahman University College, Malaysia