

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_80658
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Affecting School Teachers' Satisfaction with Online Classes during the Outbreak of COVID-19.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Paragraph 2, line 9: (needed) should be replaced by (needs) Facilitating conditions should be symbolized as (FCs) rather than (FC), FC stands for singularity; it may affect on grammatical structure selectivity. Participants and procedures: paragraph 2, (Voluntary teachers) instead of (Teachers voluntary). Missing Section: "Questions of the Study" Missing Section: "Limitations and Delimitations of the Study" Misuse of certain Tenses: Unnecessary varieties of tense use: passive past simple "they were informed" then he transforms into using passive present perfect simple. It is preferable to use present simple when talking or explaining or describing or illustrating something in writing research being factual or submitted to statistical analyses which is in turn a part of truth conditioning, except historical sequences or events. Each section (especially the theoretical framework) needs an additional support of elucidating and demonstrating. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Little or poor support of necessary explanations and illustrations have been used To be more accurate and scientific, the researcher should have used an additional tool of measurement; though he used questionnaire, but not enough, because such paper is somehow new in the Jordanian context, so it needs a strong evidence and logical proof for its acceptance and compatibility. For example, interview could be another support. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The word "choose" needs to be replaced with "intend" or "plan"(Research Model and Hypotheses section) 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The study being conducted is done in a qualitative and quantitative manner. The display and analysis of statistical tools are used professionally. The organisation and purpose of the study are put scientifically though some silly misconceptions that are dealt with thoroughly.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Husam Mohammed Kareem Al-Khazaali
Department, University & Country	Imam Al-Kadhum College (IKC), Iraq