

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_80403
Title of the Manuscript:	The Paradigm Shift from School Inspection to School Quality Assurance in Tanzania: Teachers' Feedback on the Achievements Made.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract – adhered to required components. However it could be improved by including validity and reliability of the study. The Key Words were appropriate</p> <p>Introduction & Background – Was comprehensive and captured the problem of the study. I Find that no revision is required. This section achieved the answering the gap of the study</p> <p>Missing and should be considered for inclusion: The main purpose and Objective for the study. However, attempt has been made to identify the gaps in context.</p> <p>Theoretical consideration - was appropriate for this study and enhance the concept of change which the study was sought. Therefore, was no need to revise or change</p> <p>Methodology: was appropriate for the study.</p> <p>Results: Though brief, could be enhanced by analysis of the qualitative data. Likert type of analysis would have added to the robustness of the presentation.</p> <p>Discussion: achieved the presentation sought.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Include Reliability statements.</p> <p>Methodology: However, would be enhanced if Correlation or regression design was considered. The scope of the study should be included</p> <p>Results: Include the main theme of the study or guide the author to see what question you are trying to answer. Also guide the author to see if its qualitative or quantitative aspect of the study:</p> <p>Discussion: Critic the findings or Compare your results with other studies and Findings. Additional aspects such as teacher's welfare are noted but should have been among the themes of the study.</p>	
Optional/General comments	The work had necessary components that is accepted would add to knowledge. However the study required empirical rigour and robustness to enhance the desired results.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	James Sika
Department, University & Country	Maseno University, Kenya