

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_79349
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effectiveness of Online Teaching-Learning System: A Study based on the Experiences of UG Students during the COVID-19 Pandemic
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript presents an interesting study about some issues related with teaching during COVID-19 Pandemic.</p> <p>Organization of the report is clear, so it's easy to follow authors' objectives.</p> <p>Introduction informs about bibliographical antecedents which conforms an adequate framework for the study. It also serves to justify the choice of the variables which relations are to be inquired.</p> <p>Methodological design is appropriate for the study, and conclusions follow from evidence resulting from the analysis of data collected.</p> <p>However, I have three suggestions to make.</p> <p>1) In this report, effectiveness is measured only based upon opinions of students. This quality is also relating, for example, with learning or achievement of academic objectives. I think that title of the article should be explicit about this issue.</p> <p>2) Appendix is not in the document I received. So, I miss an explanation about how questionnaire was constructed (the only information about this instrument appears in Table 1). Besides, it is important to know the reasons to select a Yes-Not questionnaire, because answering dicotomically some of the questions (for example, the ones referring to technological problems) could hide important information. I suggest arguing about this issue.</p> <p>3) Finally, as many variables are considered, it seems important to list them, explicitly establishing which relationships between them are studied, and in each case to formulate H0. Such a list would help to clarify presentation of results.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Eduardo Mario Lacues Apud</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Catholic University Of Uruguay, Uruguay</i></b>