

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_77628
Title of the Manuscript:	External interventions and community innovativeness to cope with changes in Ecosystem Services of the Okpara dam in the district of Tchaourou, in Northern Benin
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I have had the pleasure to read the manuscript titled “External interventions and community innovativeness to cope with changes in Ecosystem Services of the Okpara dam in the district of Tchaourou, in Northern Benin”. The topic looks interesting and important for coping with Ecosystem services. I have highlighted some changes (track changes in the document).</p> <p>What was so unique about these regions to warrant international audience to pay attention to them?</p> <p>Some of the discussions are not consistent, missing the previous literature and others they start discussing literature before presenting their findings. The Author to discuss and relate his work to other studies, citing recent references otherwise appears like a Government Report.</p> <p>The Four (4) Ecosystem Services types to be considered for discussion- Provisioning, Regulating, Supporting and Cultural type of services,</p> <p>The Author to consider using recent reference of not more than five (5) years some are 1976, 1993, 1999 and 2004.</p> <p>This was such an excellent study but the quality of the recommendations missing is highly questionable. The authors to consider proving policy statement and future research recommendations.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benjamin Kinyili
Department, University & Country	Kenya